Table 8--Receipts of Producer Milk and Related Statistics, by Federal Milk Order Marketing Area, July 2002, with Comparisons

| Federal Milk Order Marketing Area | Order Number | Number of Producers | | Receipts of Producer Milk | | | Average Daily Delivery Per Producer | Component Test of Producer Milk 1/ | | | | Somatic Cell Count 1/ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Total | Change from Prev. Year | 2002 | | Change from Prev. Year | | Butterfat | Nonfat Solids | Protein | Other Solids | |
| | | Count | | Million pounds | | Percent | Pounds | Percent | | | | Thousand |
| Northeast | 001 | 17,099 | -521 | 2,188 | 2,125 | 3.0 | 4,128 | 3.54 | 8.58 | 2.88 | 5.70 | --- |
| Appalachian | 005 | 3,911 | -194 | 529 | 522 | 1.3 | 4,365 | 3.53 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Southeast | 007 | 4,670 | -419 | 607 | 595 | 2.0 | 4,196 | 3.56 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Florida | 006 | 306 | -15 | 216 | 226 | -4.4 | 22,745 | 3.68 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Mideast 3/ | 033 | 11,349 | -801 | 1,430 | 1,651 | -13.4 | 4,065 | 3.52 | 8.62 | 2.90 | 5.72 | 388 |
| Upper Midwest 2/ 3/ | 030 | 13,407 | 576 | 1,513 | 1,501 | 0.8 | 3,639 | 3.55 | 8.59 | 2.89 | 5.70 | 390 |
| Central 2/ 3/ | 032 | 9,775 | -1,802 | 1,589 | 1,585 | 0.2 | 5,243 | 3.53 | 8.63 | 2.92 | 5.71 | 356 |
| Southwest 3/ | 126 | 812 | 51 | 828 | 723 | 14.6 | 32,888 | 3.51 | 8.64 | 2.95 | 5.69 | 335 |
| Arizona-Las Vegas | 131 | 109 | -5 | 240 | 232 | 3.4 | 71,062 | 3.59 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Western 2/3/ | 135 | 801 | 37 | 436 | 447 | -2.5 | 17,561 | 3.48 | 8.70 | 2.96 | 5.74 | --- |
| Pacific Northwest | 124 | 974 | -283 | 677 | 644 | 5.2 | 22,437 | 3.57 | 8.69 | 2.97 | 5.71 | --- |
| All Markets Combined 4/ | | 63,213 | -3,376 | 10,253 | 10,252 | 0.0 | 5,232 | 3.54 | 8.62 | 2.91 | 5.71 | 367 |

1/ Figures for components other than butterfat are available only for those orders with the component pricing system for paying producers.
Figures for Somatic Cell Count are available only for those orders which adjust producer payments for this item.
2/ Handlers in these marketing areas elected not to pool milk in 2001 due to disadvantageous class and uniform price relationships.
3/ Handlers in these marketing areas elected not to pool milk in 2002 due to disadvantageous class and uniform price relationships.
4/ May not add due to rounding. Figures for Component Test and Somatic Cell Count are the weighted average of the individual market figures.
The weighting factors are the applicable pounds in total producer milk receipts.